

Reasons for Refusal

1. The proposed development is inconsistent with the design quality principles contained within State Environmental Planning Policy No. 65 – Design Quality of Residential Apartment Development. (*Pursuant to Section 4.15(1)(a)(i) Environmental Planning & Assessment Act, 1979.*)
2. The proposed development does not comply with State Environmental Planning Policy (Sydney Region Growth Centres) 2006 Clause 4.3 height of buildings as the development exceeds the maximum height. (*Pursuant to Section 4.15(1)(a)(i) Environmental Planning & Assessment Act, 1979.*)
3. The proposed development does not comply with Clause 2.3.2(7) of Camden Growth Centre Precinct Development Control Plan with respect to achieving the water quality and environmental flow targets. (*Pursuant to Section 4.15(1)(a)(iii) Environmental Planning & Assessment Act, 1979.*)
4. The development does not comply with Clause 4.3.5(4) of Camden Growth Centre Precincts Development Control Plan with respect to providing the minimum number of adaptable units. (*Pursuant to Section 4.15(1)(a)(iii) Environmental Planning & Assessment Act, 1979.*)
5. The proposed development presents an unsatisfactory built form for the subject site and the desired future streetscape. (*Pursuant to Section 4.15(1)(b) Environmental Planning & Assessment Act, 1979.*)
6. Insufficient information has been submitted to enable a proper consideration of the application and its likely impacts in respect to acoustic privacy, vehicular access and manoeuvrability and waste servicing. (*Pursuant to Section 4.15(1)(b) Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979.*)
7. The site is considered unsuitable for the proposed use. (*Pursuant to Section 4.15(1)(C) Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979.*)
8. Due to the above reasons, the proposal is not considered to be in the public interest. (*Pursuant to Section 4.15(1)(e) Environmental Planning & Assessment Act, 1979.*)